Acoustic emission and microslip precursors to stick-slip failure in sheared granular material
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[1] We investigate the physics of laboratory earthquake precursors in a biaxial shear configuration. We conduct laboratory experiments at room temperature and humidity in which we shear layers of glass beads under applied normal loads of 2–8 MPa and with shearing rates of 5–10 μm/s. We show that above ~3 MPa load, acoustic emission (AE), and shear microfailure (microslip) precursors exhibit an exponential increase in rate of occurrence, culminating in stick-slip failure. Precursors take place where the material is in a critical state—still modestly dilating, yet while the macroscopic frictional strength is no longer increasing.


1. Introduction

[2] Precursors to stick-slip events are seen in the field, in laboratory experiments, and in numerical simulation. Observations of precursors to slip may prove to be important in that they may ultimately help constrain periods of increased seismic hazard. Although precursors have been observed for a significant number of earthquakes [e.g., Bouchon et al., 2013; Kato et al., 2012; McGuire et al., 2005; Zanzerkia et al., 2003; Dodge et al., 1996], many earthquakes apparently exhibit no precursor activity. This fact may be due to incomplete seismic catalogs, as precursors can be very small in magnitude. The question is very much open at this time [Mignan, 2011]; however, the recent work of Bouchon et al. [2013], for instance, is tantalizing in its demonstration of precursor activity for a significant number of interplate events.

[3] The results of many studies suggest that the dynamics of granular media may be key to understanding systems that exhibit stick-slip behavior [e.g., Marone, 1998; Nasuno et al., 1998; Dalton and Corcoran, 2001; Johnson et al., 2008, 2012]. For instance, in experiments involving a granular gouge sheared along a fixed direction, Nasuno et al. [1997] observe microscopic rearrangements in gouge material preceding slip. Accumulation of these rearrangements leads to creep, with the frequency of rearrangement rising dramatically as slip approaches. Employing a device consisting of an annular plate rotating over a granular material, Dalton and Corcoran [2002] observe a wide spectrum of acoustic emission (AE) patterns surrounding the timing of a stick-slip event. Acoustic emission, analogous to seismic events in the earth, is a commonly observed phenomenon leading to fracture in experiments with rock and other solids [e.g., Berkovits and Fang, 1995; Hamstad, 1986; Roberts and Talebzadeh, 2003].

[4] There is a growing body of numerical modeling of granular materials that has been undertaken to gain insight into both field and laboratory observations [Ferdowsi et al., 2013; Griffa et al., 2012; Aharonov and Sparks, 1999]. In a recent 3-D, Discrete Element Method (DEM) simulation that emulates laboratory experiments of sheared granular media, for example, Ferdowsi et al. [2013] report an increased rate of microslip events as a stick-slip event is approached.

[5] Here we describe laboratory measurements on sheared granular material that exhibits stick-slip behavior, allowing us to examine precursors to stick slip and to explore the controlling physics. The apparatus is the biaxial shearing apparatus of Marone and coworkers [Marone, 1998; Frye and Marone, 2002; Boettcher and Marone, 2004; Anthony and Marone, 2005; Savage and Marone, 2007; Knuth, 2011]. We begin by describing the apparatus and the experimental procedure and then show the results. We follow this with a discussion of these results and conclude.

2. Experiment

[6] We perform experiments using the biaxial testing apparatus shown in Figure 1a [e.g., Marone, 1998]. Two layers of simulated fault gouge, under constant normal stress, are subjected to a shear stress. The normal stress ranges from 2–8 MPa. The shear stress and friction on the gouge layers, from the center drive block and measured with a load cell, is an important experimental output. The simulated fault gouge comprises class IV spheres (dimension from 105–149 μm). The initial layer thickness is 2 × 4 mm (two layers), and the roughened interfaces with the drive block have dimensions 10 cm × 10 cm. The drive block vertical displacement rate is 5 μm/s, corresponding to a
strain rate of approximately $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ /s. The apparatus is servocontrolled so that constant normal stress and displacement rate of the drive block are maintained at $\pm 0.1$ kN and $\pm 0.1 \mu m/s$, respectively. The apparatus is monitored via computer to record load on the drive block and drive block displacement at 10 kHz.

Acoustic emission, detected on the central block via a Brüel and Kjær model 4393 accelerometer and amplified by a Brüel and Kjær 2635 charge amplifier, is a second important experimental output. From the measured acceleration, the strain $\varepsilon$ associated with the acoustic emission is found using the particle velocity $u$, layer wave speed $c$, and particle acceleration $\ddot{u}$: $\varepsilon = \frac{\ddot{u}}{c}$. The average measured wave speed in the granular material is $c \approx 700$ m/s, and frequency $f$ is 40.3 kHz. After band pass filtering the signal at 34–45 kHz, we extract acoustic emissions using a thresholding algorithm in which events are identified by amplitudes that exceed a threshold.

Figure 1. Experimental configuration, with measured data. (a) The biaxial shearing sample, comprised of a three block system with two layers of glass beads. The central block is driven at a constant displacement rate. The stress normal to the layers, applied as indicated by arrows, is maintained constant. (b) Complete record for a representative experiment showing friction $\mu = \frac{F}{\sigma}$ versus time, where $\sigma$ is normal load, $A$ is the block area and $F$ is the applied shearing force. The data show hundreds of stick-slip events, with maximum friction of $\approx 0.38$ and friction drops of $\approx 0.08$. The inset shows an expanded view of the friction behavior. The shear rate was initially 10 $\mu m/s$ for the range 0 to $\approx 5000 \mu m$ and 5 $\mu m/s$ thereafter.

Figure 2. Acoustic emission (AE) characteristics. (a) AE amplitude as a function of time (semilog scale) from the characteristic stick-slip events (open circles) and precursor events (solid circles). The AE precursors are plotted according to their relative strain amplitude, which varies from $3 \times 10^{-9}$ to $5 \times 10^{-8}$. (b) Expanded view of a portion of the experiment, showing the timing of the precursor AE (solid black circles). The solid black line is the shear stress (arbitrary units). The characteristic event recurrence interval of stick slips is noted. Precursory AEs begin late in the stick-slip cycle and are frequently (but not always) associated with microshear failures. (c) AE recurrence interval versus time (semilog scale) for the entire experiment. Large, open circles (blue) are AE from characteristic stick-slip events, and small, closed circles (black) are precursor AE. Note that the AE recurrence times for the early portion of the experiment are shorter because the shearing rate is higher for time $< 500$ s.
fixed strain threshold of $2.7773 \times 10^{-9}$. We find by visual inspection that all recorded events are captured, with the exception of misidentification of multiple events as a single event. This is rare, however. The shear microfailures termed microslips are obtained from the shear stress signal by extracting events (Figure 2b). Following each stick-slip event in a time domain in which small stick-slip events (microslips) are seen (Figure 2a). The small amplitude AE events occur before the stick-slip event in a time domain in which small stick-slip events (microslips) are seen (Figure 2b). Following each stick-slip event, there is a quiescent period (no microslips) in the strain amplitude than the AE events associated with stick-slip events (open circles in Figure 2a) are associated with the AE and microslip probability density rises above the background approximately exponentially. Immediately preceding the stick slip there is a rapid acceleration of AE and microslips. The inset of Figure 4b shows an overlay of the two probability density functions (PDFs), normalized to their respective total

3. Observations

[8] In the following, we describe the observations associated with precursor phenomena observed in the shear stress and in the acoustic emission. Figure 1b shows the shear stress as a function of time delivered by the drive block, in the form of a coefficient of friction $\mu$ (shear stress divided by the normal stress $\mu = \frac{s}{\sigma}$), for an experiment conducted at 5 MPa normal stress. The inset shows an expanded view of the frictional behavior. In Figure 2a, the strain of each AE event is plotted versus the time of its occurrence. The large amplitude AE events (open circles in Figure 2a) are associated with the stick-slip events in Figure 1b. The small amplitude AE events (closed circles) are precursors to the stick-slip events. This is made clear in the expanded view in Figure 2b, where the characterization of AE activity (log-log scale) for microslip shear failures. The slope of the exponential increase in AE activity (linear in log-log space) is noted. The inset shows normed AE and microslip probability density rises above the background approximately exponentially. Immediately preceding the stick slip there is a rapid acceleration of AE and microslips. The inset of Figure 4b shows an overlay of the two probability density functions (PDFs), normalized to their respective total
numbers. The slopes collapse onto each other, indicating correlation between the microslips and AE. We next determine quantitatively the relation of AE to discrete microslips. We identify 12,991 AE and 4875 microslips for 620 stick-slip events. We construct two signals (Figure 5): event rates of microslips and precursors versus time (details are available in the supporting information and in Figure S2). An expanded view of the both the AE and microslip time signals is shown in Figure 5b. There is a clear increase in both AE and microslips as characteristic slip events are approached (Figure 5a). Figure 5c shows that a cross-correlation analysis performed between the two time series gives an average correlation coefficient of 0.82, suggesting strong correlation. The results described here are for a single value of the normal stress, 5 MPa. Results for the stress range 2 MPa to 8 MPa are reported in the supporting information (see Figures S4–S7).

4. Analysis and Discussion

[13] It is clear from the results shown in Figures 4 and 5 that precursory AEs and microslips are associated. Most microslips exhibit AE; however, many AEs do not exhibit microslips. The signal-to-noise ratio of the AEs (about $10^3$) is significantly larger than that of the microslips (about $10^2$). Thus many microslips are likely missed in the analysis, and some may be so small as to exhibit no shear stress signature.

[14] The microslips are associated with grain rearrangements within the shearing layer. The DEM simulation work of Ferdowski et al. [2013] shows that microslips are associated with an increase in the number of slipping contacts as well as an increase in the kinetic energy of the granular layers. In short, the grain rearrangements observed in the simulation and surmised from the experiments can be viewed as the result of bead asperities that resist the slow slip, leading to local failures that produce precursors, and eventually failing catastrophically in a stick-slip event. There is no obvious evidence of static stress transfer triggering the stick slip in the experimental data, as we observe no build up of shear load due to the AE/microslips.

[15] In summary, the experiments resemble interplate precursor activity observed in the earth and indicate that a more careful inspection of high quality data preceding interplate events may prove useful. Precursors may be very small in magnitude and therefore demand better instrumentation in earthquake prone regions.
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