Mechanics of Earthquakes and Faulting

Lecture 13 , 16 Mar. 2021

www.geosc.psu.edu/Courses/Geosc508

- Frictional healing. Aging and rate state friction. Application to tectonic faults and measurements of fault healing
- Normal stress oscillations and the critical vibration period for friction
- Healing: Affect of loading rate, shear stress, chemical environment, granular packing --Stressed vs. unstressed frictional aging
- Next time: role of healing for connecting friction to fracture mechanics
- Slow earthquakes and the opportunity to further investigate the application of rate state friction laws to instability.
- Recent lab work showing repetitive stick-slip instability for the complete spectrum of slip behaviors A new opportunity to investigate the mechanics of slow slip
- Mechanisms: Why are they slow?
- Quasi-dynamic frictional instability (positive feedback, self-driven instability)

Rate (v) and State (θ) Friction Constitutive Laws



Measuring the velocity dependence of friction

Frictional Instability Requires (a-b) < 0





Fault Healing and the Seismic Cycle: Repeating Earthquakes

How do faults regain strength between earthquakes?



Vidale et al., 1994; Peng, Vidale, Marone & Rubin, GRL 2005



Healing rate of Calaveras repeaters agrees with room-T friction experiments, and shows predicted break in slope due to initial, rapid postseismic slip.

Earthquakes:

 $\Delta \tau$ ~ 2 MPa per decade in time

Lab Friction Experiment $\Delta \mu \sim 0.01$ per decade in time $\sigma \sim 100$ MPa $\Delta \tau = \sigma \Delta \mu$ $\Delta \tau \sim 1$ MPa per decade in time



Marone, Nature, 1998

- Frictional healing: Time dependent, chemically-assisted mechanism, slip rate matters, shear stress level matters
- Fault healing: old faults are strong, earthquake stress drop increases with log recurrence time for major tectonic faults.
- Repeating earthquakes: small events, complex behavior



Modeling the effect of normal force vibration 1.



Tc ~ time needed to slip a distance Dc



(a)

60

T = 0.1 T = 0.25 T = 0.5 T = 0.75

Boettcher & Marone, JGR, 2004

T = 2.0

T = 3.0

T = 1.5

مطوران المراجعة **المطار**ق المدال

101

100

99

T = 4.0

(MPa)



T = 1.0

Critical period is 1 to 2 sec.







Critical period is 1 to 2 sec.



Boettcher & Marone, JGR, 2004 Also, Phase lag. Friction response lags stressing. Could explain delayed triggering



No frictional response to high frequency oscillations



How do fault/frictional surfaces heal (regain strength) after failure?



Earthquakes & Fault Mechanics: seismic cycle, fault reactivation. (friction and stick slip: doors, windows, machines, ships in dry dock, dancers...)



Frictional aging.

Time dependent friction from time dependent contact area



Dieterich and Kilgore [1994]

Time dependent growth of contact (acyrlic plastic)- true static contact

Chemically-Assisted Frictional Aging; Creep at Adhesive Contact Junctions



In-situ Particle Comminution; Production of Fresh Surface Area

Frye and Marone, JGR 2002



Granular quartz

Hydrolytic Weakening causes enhanced rate of strengthening

Chemically-Assisted Frictional Aging; Creep at Adhesive Contact Junctions



Hydrolytic Weakening causes enhanced rate of strengthening, but base level frictional strength is unchanged

Frye and Marone, JGR 2002



Solid Surfaces: Base level of frictional strength decreases with increasing water content (cf. Dieterich & Conrad, 1984) Granular Materials: Frictional strength is independent of water content

Interpretation: Contact junctions subject to time dependent strengthening or growth, which inhibits sliding, but particle rolling is not affected by these factors.

Frye and Marone, JGR 2002

Empirical laws, based on laboratory friction data



Stresses v. Unstressed Aging





100 s holds, Healing rate varies systematically with shear stress

Karner & Marone (GRL 1998 JGR 2001)