

## Shallow Dynamic Overshoot and Energetic Deep Rupture in the 2011 $M_w$ 9.0 Tohoku-Oki Earthquake

Satoshi Ide,<sup>1\*</sup> Annemarie Baltay,<sup>2</sup> Gregory C. Beroza<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, 397 Panama Mall, Stanford, CA 94305–2215, USA.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ide@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

**Strong spatial variation of rupture characteristics in the  $M_w$  9.0 Tohoku-Oki megathrust earthquake controlled both the strength of shaking and the size of the tsunami that followed. Finite-source imaging reveals that the rupture consisted of a small initial phase, deep rupture for up to 40 s, extensive shallow rupture at 60–70 s, and continuing deep rupture lasting over 100 s. A combination of a shallow dipping fault and a compliant hanging wall may have enabled large shallow slip near the trench. Normal faulting aftershocks in the area of high slip suggest dynamic overshoot on the fault. Despite prodigious total slip, shallower parts of the rupture weakly radiated at high frequencies, whereas deeper parts of the rupture radiated strongly at high frequencies.**

The 11 March 2011  $M_w$  9.0 Tohoku-Oki Earthquake occurred on the Japan Trench plate boundary off the eastern shore of northern Honshu. The mainshock was preceded by a foreshock sequence lasting two days. The largest of these was an  $M_w$  7.3 earthquake on 9 March 2011. This foreshock and the mainshock share similar low-angle thrust mechanisms and their epicenters are separated by only about 45 km (Fig. 1). Earthquake scaling relations suggest a characteristic fault plane dimension of  $\sim 50$  km for an  $M_w$  7.3 earthquake (1, 2), and the initial rupture point of the mainshock is close to the rupture area of the foreshock. The relative size, proximity and similarity of the two events allows us to use the foreshock as an empirical Green's function (eGf) (3–7) to study the source process of the mainshock.

The eGf approach assumes that waveforms from a small earthquake (eGf event) approximate the point dislocation response from points near the source of the eGf event, once the appropriate time shift due to the location difference is taken into account. An advantage of this method over numerical seismogram simulation is that we do not have to model the effects of complex Earth structure. The Tohoku-Oki earthquake started with relatively small amplitude arrivals during the first 3 s that are only clearly visible at seismically quiet stations (fig. S1). Thereafter, the earthquake quickly grew into a large event.

We inverted broadband seismograms at 50 Global Seismographic Network (GSN) stations (fig. S2) to image the spatio-temporal slip distribution shown in Fig. 1 (8). After the weak initial phase, the mainshock rupture propagated down-dip towards the island of Honshu and reached a local maximum in slip rate at around 40 s before propagating back up-dip towards the shallower region to the trench axis where the slip rate reached a maximum of about 0.9 m/s at 60 s. The shallow large slip appears to emerge suddenly since up-dip rupture propagation before 40 s is not well resolved, perhaps due to smaller slip rate than that of the deep rupture. We suggest that the rupture front propagated up-dip relatively slowly in the early stages of the earthquake before reaching the trench. The global moment release rate reached its maximum at around 60 s and maintained high values until 75 s, when rupture shifted back to the deeper region and slip is widely distributed. A narrow zone of high slip emerged at the bottom of the rupture plane at around 90 s and the major rupture finished at about 100 s. After 100 s, rupture propagated along-strike to the south with somewhat smaller slip rate.

The highest cumulative slip in our model is about 30 m and is strongly concentrated in the shallowest part of the fault, near the trench. The values of slip rate and slip are relative in that they depend on the assumed moment of the  $M_w$  7.3 foreshock. Aftershock hypocenters determined by Japan Meteorological Agency are distributed around, but not inside, the large slip area. This is a common observation for earthquakes where resolution of the slip distribution and location accuracy of the aftershocks allows a meaningful comparison (9, 10). The eGf method depends on the selection of the eGf event; however, the above features are robust with respect to the assumed eGf (see SOM text and fig. S3).

Amplified motion of the hanging wall near the Earth's surface is a feature expected for rupture propagation on a thrust fault with dynamic overshoot (shear stress reduction below dynamic friction), as demonstrated by numerical simulations with a free surface (11–13). The reversal of rupture propagation direction from upward to downward

shown in Fig. 1 is a feature that is observed in numerical models with dynamic overshoot. In subduction zones, a relatively compliant hanging wall should amplify this effect and was recognized as playing a potentially important role in tsunamigenesis (13). Thermal pressurization is a possible mechanism for dynamic fault weakening as well (14); however, models of the effects of thermal pressurization over a broad range of the relevant fault zone properties indicate that it should be operative at very small values of slip, before significant seismic waves are radiated (15). Thus, if thermal pressurization is important for part of the fault, it should be important for the entire fault.

The degree to which slip is amplified at the free surface and shear stress is reduced to below dynamic friction is underscored by several events as large as  $M_w$  6.5 (12 March 2011) with opposite (normal) mechanisms close to the maximum slip area, and landward from the trench. The waveforms of one of these events with a low-angle normal mechanism are nearly opposite from those of the  $M_w$  7.3 foreshock, once the difference of source time functions is accounted for (fig. S4). We confirm that the normal faulting event of the  $M_w$  6.5 aftershock is at approximately the depth of the plate interface through the comparison of surface reflected phases with a reverse faulting foreshock (fig. S5). Although this suggests that both of these earthquakes occur on the plate interface, even if they did not, events with opposite mechanisms would not be expected to occur without a reversal of the stress state. Complete stress drop and dynamic overshoot beyond zero shear stress has been inferred previously from aftershock mechanism diversity or reversed aftershock mechanisms (16–18), but not in subduction zones, and never before at such a scale as implied by reversed aftershocks of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake.

Although the greatest slip is located near the trench, high-frequency waves are radiated much more strongly near the deeper edge of rupture, where much less total slip is resolved. Two groups of waves are clearly observed in the northern KiK-net stations, but only a single pulse is dominant in the southern stations (Fig. 2). The initial 40 s of rupture explains the first group of short-period waves observed only in the northern stations; however, the expected high-frequency waves from the shallower areas of massive slip are not apparent. Instead, rupture of the deep part of the fault after approximately 90 s is required to explain the second group of high frequency arrivals. A single group of high-frequency waves at southern stations can be explained by local sources located near the southern and eastern edges of our slip model.

We estimate the radiated energy of the mainshock using eGf deconvolution to isolate source spectra (8). We use the  $M_w$  7.3 foreshock and three earlier events on the Japan Trench as eGfs (Fig. 3, figs. S6 and S7, and table S1), using  $P$ -wave coda spectra of vertical broadband displacement records at 43

GSN stations. We find that the  $P$ -wave spectra are deficient in low-frequency energy, potentially due to the shallow interference effect of the moment tensor components (19), which may explain why we had to extrapolate the source spectrum at low frequencies to match the mainshock moment.

We find a mainshock radiated energy  $E_S = 9.1 \times 10^{18}$  J, averaged over all stations and all choices of eGf. The mainshock energy estimates from each eGf event are similar, implying the result is robust (table S1). Although we find a strong azimuthal dependence of a factor of 3–4 in the radiated energy due to strong directivity in the mainshock (fig. S8), our stations are well distributed azimuthally, and our mean estimate of energy reflects the unbiased mean. Nevertheless, our estimate is about twice as large as the  $5.1 \times 10^{17}$  J determined by the USGS (20). Discrepancies may be due to differences in assumed material parameters such as wave velocity and density (21), and to our extrapolation to low frequencies, which accounts for 18% of the total estimated energy (fig. S7). The scaled energy,  $E_S/M_0$  of the mainshock is  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ , which is consistent with previous studies that show a typical scaled energy of  $3 \times 10^{-5}$  for ordinary earthquakes (22). It is clearly much larger than the  $1\text{--}3 \times 10^{-6}$  of “tsunami earthquakes” in the seismological use of the term (23–25). That is, the tsunami for the Tohoku-Oki earthquake was not anomalously large given the seismic energy or seismic moment.

The azimuthal dependence of seismic energy estimates (fig. S8) is consistent with the slip model (Fig. 1). The direction of rupture propagation is WNW for 0–40 s and 60–90 s. Therefore we have overall directivity pattern that is approximated by a sine curve with the maximum at around  $300^\circ$ . In addition to this global trend, we observe sharp peaks of energy at two azimuths,  $\sim 30^\circ$  and  $\sim 200^\circ$ . These are close to the strike direction of the fault and we suggest that these peaks are generated by the sudden emergence of large slip along trench at 60 s. Even though the up-dip rupture propagation is relatively slow, strong directivity can arise due to a high apparent velocity if slip is amplified in near synchrony along strike as rupture approaches the surface along the trench.

The Tohoku-Oki earthquake has two modes of rupture: shallow, relatively quiet rupture with dynamic overshoot and deep rupture that radiates high frequency waves energetically. Observations at either high or low frequencies alone would result in a misleading representation of the earthquake. The shallow slip of 30 m is large, but geodetic and tsunami modeling indicate that slip may be even larger. In any case, it may not be sufficient to account for the accumulated plate-boundary slip because the relative plate motion is approximately 83 mm/year and there is no written report of such a large earthquake since AD 1600. The shallow part of the fault may not always fail in stick-slip. Slip on the

corresponding shallow part of the subduction interface in northern Japan in the 1896 Sanriku-Oki tsunami earthquake may have been relatively slow as well since it generated a much larger tsunami than expected from the radiated seismic waves (26). The 1994 Sanriku-Oki earthquake ( $M_w$  7.6) also started far from the coast and propagated landward very slowly during the first 20 s (27) and radiated high-frequency waves primarily from the deeper part of the fault (28). Finally, we note that characteristic repeating earthquakes near the deep edge of the source region of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake have relatively high seismic energy (29, 30).

Our observations suggest that the two rupture modes of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake reflect variations in the frictional nature of the subduction interface with depth, and explain variable earthquake behavior in the Japan Trench. We suggest that the large, shallow slip with dynamic overshoot was activated by the preceding deep energetic rupture. Had the down-dip rupture not occurred, the Tohoku-Oki earthquake could have generated a tsunami that would have been considered anomalously large. This may explain the large tsunami of the 1896 Sanriku earthquake, but what excited the shallower slip without an energetic deep rupture is unclear. Whatever the resolution to this question, rapid imaging of near-trench slip could provide timely warning that an earthquake might generate an unusually large tsunami.

## References and Notes

1. D. L. Wells, K. J. Coppersmith, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **84**, 974 (1994).
2. P. M. Mai, G. C. Beroza, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **90**, 604 (2000).
3. S. H. Hartzell, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **5**, 1 (1978).
4. E. Fukuyama, K. Irikura, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **76**, 1623 (1986).
5. J. Mori, S. Hartzell, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **80**, 507 (1990).
6. S. Ide, *Tectonophysics* **334**, 35 (2001).
7. A. Baltay, G. Prieto, G. C. Beroza, *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, B08314 (2010).
8. Materials and methods are available on *Science Online*.
9. C. Mendoza, S. H. Hartzell, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **78**, 1438 (1988).
10. C. H. Scholz, *The Mechanics of Earthquakes and Faulting*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
11. D. D. Oglesby, R. J. Archuleta, S. B. Nielsen, *Science* **280**, 1055 (1998).
12. D. D. Oglesby, S. M. Day, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **91**, 1099 (2001).
13. S. Ma, G. C. Beroza, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **98**, 1642 (2008).
14. G. C. Beroza, M. D. Zoback, *Science* **259**, 210 (1993).
15. A. Bizzarri, M. Cocco, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, B05303 (2006).
16. S. V. Schmitt, P. Segall, T. Matsuzawa, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 10.1029/2010JB008035.
17. E. Hauksson, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **84**, 917 (1994).
18. N. A. Ratchkovski, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**, 2017 (2003).
19. H. Kanamori, J. Given, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.* **27**, 8, (1981).
20. J. Boatwright, G. Choy, *J. Geophys. Res.* **91**, 2095 (1986).
21. We use a density  $\rho = 2800 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Using  $\rho = 3000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  which is a common choice in other energy studies would reduce our radiated energy by 6.5% to  $E_s = 8.5e17 \text{ J}$ .
22. S. Ide, G. C. Beroza, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 3349 (2001).
23. H. Kanamori, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.* **6**, 346 (1972).
24. H. Kanamori, M. Kikuchi, *Nature* **361**, 714 (1993).
25. A. Venkataraman, H. Kanamori, *J. Geophys. Res.* **109** (2004).
26. Y. Tanioka, K. Satake, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23**, 1549 (1996).
27. W. Nakayama, M. Takeo, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **87**, 918 (1997).
28. T. Sato, K. Imanishi, M. Kosuga, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23**, 33 (1996).
29. N. Uchida *et al.*, Abstract S34B-04 presented at 2010 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 13–17 Dec (2010).
30. A. Baltay, S. Ide, G. Prieto, G. Beroza, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38**, L06303 (2011).
31. P. Wessel, W. H. F. Smith, *EOS Trans. AGU* **72**, 441 (1991)
32. S. Ide, M. Takeo, *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 27,379 (1997).
33. B. L. N. Kennett, E. R. Engdahl, *Geophys. J. Inter.* **105**, 429 (1991).
34. J. N. Brune, *J. Geophys. Res.* **75**, 4997 (1970).

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by MEXT KAKENHI (21107007) and JSPS KAKENHI (23244090). GMT software (31) was used to draw figures. A. Baltay was supported at Stanford by an SGF Gabilan Fellowship. GSN data are available online ([www.iris.edu/hq/programs/gsn/data](http://www.iris.edu/hq/programs/gsn/data)) through a cooperative scientific facility operated jointly by the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS), the United States Geological Survey (USGS), and the National Science Foundation (NSF). KiK-net data are also available online ([www.kik.bosai.go.jp/kik/](http://www.kik.bosai.go.jp/kik/)) through National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention.

## Supporting Online Material

[www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1207020/DC1](http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1207020/DC1)

Materials and Methods

SOM Text

Figs. S1 to S8

Table S1

References (6, 7, 30, 32–34)

**Fig. 1.** The total slip distribution (**left**) and snapshots of the slip-rate distribution at 6 times (**right**). Blue (0309), red (MS), and orange beachballs (0312 and 0314) show focal mechanisms of the foreshock on March 9 ( $M_w$  7.3), the mainshock, and two aftershocks with opposite mechanism on March 12 ( $M_w$  6.5) and 14 ( $M_w$  6.1), connected to their epicenters with gray lines. Circles are epicenters determined by rapid analysis of Japan Meteorological Agency. Red circles are the mainshock (star) and aftershocks and blue circles are the largest foreshock (star) and foreshocks. The fault plane is 440 km  $\times$  220 km. A blue curve in the inset shows the global moment rate function, which is the moment rate observed in the far-field perpendicular to the fault.

**Fig. 2. (Left)** Strong motion records high-pass filtered above 10 Hz at nine KiK-net stations of the National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention distributed along the rupture. The maximum amplitude in cm/s/s is shown at the top-right of each waveform. **(Right)** Mainshock slip distribution. The *S*-wave arrival time from several source locations, shown with colored stars and with time after the rupture initiation shown, are plotted on the waveforms with length inversely proportional to the source-station distance.

**Fig. 3.** Top panel shows mainshock and eGf broadband seismograms at GSN station KEV. Lower panel shows spectra of *P*-wave train for mainshock seismograms after deconvolving different eGf events. The close correspondence of the corrected spectra indicate our results are robust with respect to the assumed eGf; however, the moment spectra of the mainshock appears to be missing the lowest frequency components. We extrapolated the spectra to low frequencies as shown in dashed lines. This is a source of uncertainty, but the amount of energy involved in the extrapolation is 18% on average, for all stations and eGf corrections.





